	FILED BY
IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COUR TOS
FOR THE WESTERN D	ATES DISTRICT COURTOS JUL 18
	N DIVISION
	WD CF IN WOLD
JIMMIE HILL and BARBARA HILL,	) STUKSON ON
Plaintiffs,	) )
VS.	) No. 1-04-1151-T-An
JAMES BOWLES, CHIEF OF POLICE OF GIBSON, TENNESSEE, et al.,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS, AND/OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFFS TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Jimmie and Barbara Hill brought suit in the Circuit Court of Gibson County, Tennessee, against Defendants James Bowles, Gibson Chief of Police, Wallace Keymon, Mayor of Gibson, and the Town of Gibson, Tennessee. Defendants removed the action to district court, arguing that Plaintiffs' claims appeared to be brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 because their complaint alleged action under color of law and retaliation and sought attorneys fees as well as a jury trial.

Defendants filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6), and/or in the alternative, a motion for summary judgment under FED. R. CIV. P. 56(c). Plaintiffs responded; however, they did not address whether their claims were brought pursuant to §

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79 (a) FRCP on 120 05

1983. Consequently, the court ordered the parties to file supplemental briefs on the issue of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiffs filed a supplemental brief and stated that they were raising, among other things, a claim under § 1983. However, this brief was inadequate because it did not specifically state the constitutional violation alleged. Further, Plaintiffs' complaint is inadequate because it does not state the constitutional violation alleged and it fails to state the basis of Plaintiffs' retaliation claim. On the face of the complaint, it is not clear what Plaintiffs' actions were that prompted the alleged retaliation. As a result, Plaintiffs are ordered to file an amended complaint clearly stating the constitutional basis and the facts that support each element of the § 1983 claim. In addition, Plaintiffs are ordered to restate the remainder of their claims in a clear, concise fashion with specific allegations supporting each of their claims. Plaintiffs have twenty (20) days to file an amended complaint and Defendants have twenty (20) days to respond. Defendants' motion to dismiss and/or motion for summary judgment is DENIED without prejudice to refiling after the filing of an amended complaint by Plaintiffs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JAMES D. TODD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

July 2005



## **Notice of Distribution**

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 29 in case 1:04-CV-01151 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on July 20, 2005 to the parties listed.

Michael R. Hill FLIPPIN COLLINS & HILL, PLLC P.O. Box 679 Milan, TN 38358

Fred Collins FLIPPIN COLLINS & HILL, PLLC P.O. Box 679 Milan, TN 38358

Sam J. Watridge LAW OFFICE OF SAM J. WATRIDGE 1215 Main Street Humboldt, TN 38343

Honorable James Todd US DISTRICT COURT